(43)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1883.

~~191~~ .

(S. R)

File No. 10-1883

Serial Nos. 1—16

Later references.

G.4 85-23 dl-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major Part B for Dec 02

SUBJECT.

Haricharpur Inamdar's claim to toddy revenue
Krishnarajapet taluk.

Sl No. 14. No. 275 / Ex. 7-12-01 Dl. 23-12-02.

To. Supdt. Govt Press.

Subject. Requests him to get copies printed of G.O. No. 196-8 Ex. 7-12-01. Dl. 7-10-02 and to send 50 copies to Excise Branch of the Dewans office.

W.D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Harihar Mr Village Ex. 7 12-Q1-07

List of Letters in the File.

	Letter. No.	Letter. Date.	From whom.	To whom.
1.	27	16.8.83	Inam Supdt	Secy
2	1078/16	26.5.89	Govt Pro	Inam Supdt
3	12	19.7.89	Inam Supdt	Secy
4	2998/246	9.12.96	Ex. Comr	Secy
5	52	18.12.96	Inam Supdt	Do
6	5621/4219	24.12.96	Secy	Ex. Comr
7	4282/291	13.2.97	Ex. Comr	Secy
8	87	23.3.97	Inam Supdt	Do
9	office note			
10	9063/13015 16	20.4.97	Secy	Inam Supdt
11	2074-83/4116-92	2.8.07	Govt Pro	(Copy)
12	7946/397	20.5.07	Ex. Comr	Secy
13	1088/Ex.7.12.07	28.7.07	Secy	Asst Collector Cam
14	196-8/Ex.7.12.07	7.10.07	Govt Pro	Ex. Comr. Consulting Mechanical
15	274	28/12/07	To The Inamdar - Harihar Village Krishnarajpet Tq	
16	275	28/12/07	Do Supdt Surveys	

Checked -
Despatch
28/12/07

Returned
29/6

9) Memo

Excise Commr's letter No. 4282/291 of the 13th February 1897 submitting with his opinion, the entire records of enquiry into the claim of the Inamdar of the Harthanpura Village, Krishnarajpet Taluk, to the Toddy Revenue of the Village

---

The Inam sannad of the 2nd October 1826, expressly× granted the Toddy Revenue also. But owing to the paucity of date trees in the Village, it was entitled× to get its supply of Toddy from Govt. Sugarnahally grove, subject to the payment therefor at 14 pies a gad

During the Inam Settlement in 1878, this item was ordered× to be reserved to Govt. on the score of its non-enjoyment at the time, under the authority of the closing lines of para 2 of Inam Rules No. 6 which issued under the Chief Commr's order No. 3697/Rev 30× dt.& October 1873.

The above decision was protested× by the holder of the Inam Village, Mr. B. Gopalaiengar, the holder of the Inam Village on the ground that in the absence of the alienation of this item also, there could have been no occasion for the issue of the orders in re the Toddy supply referred to

× underlined portion of the extract of the Inam Sanad (4/a) on file

× 1/b on file

× Inam Register Sheet (7), Column 20 para 4

× Page 73 of Rev. Manual (Instructions)

× 1/a on file

to in para 1

In submitting Mr. Gopalangengar's case for the orders of Govt., the Inam Supdt. asked for a clear ruling as to the scope of the closing lines of para 3 of the Chief Commr's Orders quoted above & Upadhyaya Presents No. 6 that issued thereon. × 1 on file

Govt. in recognizing its application only to cases unauthorizedly & under no express grant, enjoying an item of Revs., called for the Supdt's opinion in the particular case. × 2 on file

The Inam Supdt. in holding that the reservation clauses applied only to cases which enjoyed an item under no express grant; Concluded that Harinharpura did not come under that Category. × 3 on file

No further action seems to have been taken on the above recommendation

But during the enquiry by the Deputy Commissioner in 1896 into this Inamdar's right to the Doddy Revs. Mr. Gopalangengar in stating that no final orders of Govt., as to the scope or nature of his claim to this item, had yet issued on the last Inam Supdt's last reference in the question; further supported his claim by producing extracts from the Village accounts to evidence its enjoyment for 25 years up to 1873 i.e. up to 5 years prior to Inam Settlt; alleging that during the said 5 years × 4/6

the

× 4/6

its non-enjoyment was ~~solely~~ due to the want of a vendor.

On the strength of the wordings of the Sannad, and the extracts evidencing long previous enjoyment ~~for~~ during 25 years, the Dy Commr. supported upheld the claim & recommended the payment of the Toddy Revenue minus the working charges.

× 4 on file

In forwarding the entire record the Exn Commr. expresses a doubt as to whether the grant included both the Excise ~~& tree rent~~. Revs from Toddy and the tree rent. That the two items were included is apparent from the wordings of the Sannad. But owing to the existence of few no date trees in the village, the Anandar himself waives his right to tree rent & claims only the Shop rent otherwise called Excise Revs from Toddy.

× 4/6

Subject to payment for the Toddy consumed in the Shop, at 14ps a gada under the terms of the order from the Panchalcole dept, & the deduction for the Govt Cash (this has to be decided with the final settlement the General question of Anandars' claim to Toddy Revenue is finally settled by Govt.) the Anandar seems entitled to the Toddy Revenue

× 1/6

Revenue of the Harikarpura Village

23/3/97 Bring this ~~at Bangalore~~ along with the Sharan file, which is with the Secy at Bangalore - 28/3/97

Sd/- with file.

General Records.

Please put up the refo

29/3

Please see the remarks made on Inam Supdts Rep 5-2 of 18/12/96, (Gl No 4144 / RE 2995).

29/3/97

29/3/97

Judicial Deptt

This is another reminder for the above which is awaited by your branch on 18/1/97

30/3

The Ref in question is not in the J. Branch now. Can the Excise Clerk give a clue about this?

6/4/97

9/4/97

Subd. Excise Commrs letter 4282/291 of 13th February 1897 (which was submitted with a memo; the file is put up) is still pending disposal. As the Inam Refce is urgently required by the Inam Supdt, it may be returned to Inam Refce. If necessary for reference, may be called for again.

12/4/97

15/4/97

See Heal. Thinks to place
before the Councillor
as soon as he comes
from surgery or
tomorrow morning
& send it back to
office with his order
tomorrow before
12 o'clock.

Yours &c
Dr Neale Watson

?
18/9

Please write a ltr
to Mr Gopalaengar
bring at once
18/9/02

Friend. Very Regard
[illegible]
Lodge Q. Form. No 458
has been tried to the Comm
this relative to the Claim
of Worshipful Brethren
for the Lodge per Q.
Brethren. This
had been posted for
Lodge's meeting, but
as the Committee was
closed before the
this was to be heard
tomorrow. Kindly

I was very glad to
hear the Gorbachevs
on the subject on
Monday the 15th
Instant during
office hours of the
the 29th of June
previous. Abroad
I received my letter
on the case before
6-30 & when I
left London - I
taken up the
Gorbachevs was
not mentioned -

Claim to ~~toddy~~ revenue of the Surramanyam village (Hariharpur) in the Krishna Rajpet Taluk.

- From the records it will be seen that the village was granted as Surramanya inam in 1826. The investigating officer (Mr. P Sreenivasa Rayo in the order passed thereon the original Sannad mentioned a sum of 6 varahas 2 hanas as the income from Todigas and that this amount is included in the total being alienated. (vide ~~account~~ statement of accounts marked A). In the copy of the original Sannads (now in the file) it is mentioned that along with other items the item (Beri-er ~~of~~ for 250 nos) is also conceded. In the accounts however, the toddy revenue ~~from~~ realized from 1847-48 to 187

Marked B

is shown to have been enjoyed by the Inamdar. The toddy shop was then closed stated to have been closed for want of a vendor. In 1878 when the ~~Sarvey~~ Inam settlement was made, it was recorded in the settlement register that though the Sannad concedes toddy revenue, it was reserved by Govt as it was not then enjoyed by ~~Govt~~ the Inamdar. Against this order of the ~~Sur~~ Inam Settlement, the Inamdar seems to have appealed but the papers are not forthcoming to in this office. ~~An enquiry will be made if necessary as to when & to whom and with what number etc.~~

How caused this to be appealed? Please make a note when search for it.

The accounts show that the Inamdar enjoyed toddy revenue from 1847 to 1878, ~~Sub~~ when for want of a vendor the shop was closed. Subsequent to the Inam settlement in 1878, the

shop seems to have been received but the revenue realized was not paid to the Inamdar owing to the prohibitive order of the Inam Superintendent. In both the enquiries held by the Deputy Commr (Mr. Venkat varadrayar in 1896) and now by Mr. P. Seenivasa Row it is held that the Inamdar is entitled to Toddy revenue, & the Excise Comm concurs in their opinion. As however there is no enjoyment of the revenue under the Inam Superintende order, within the 12 years preceding the year 1892-93, the case is submitted for orders of the Government under ~~para~~ Rule 19 of the Rules (~~Inamdars~~ Settlement of Inamdars' ~~toddy~~ Revenue in which directs that, if any case, there has been no

* marked C & D. (vide also * by Commr's memo marked H

* put up.

enjoyment of Excise Revenue within the twelve years preceding the year 1892-93, the circumstances should be reported with the Excise Commrs opinion thereon for the orders of Govt.

Rules nos 20, 21 & 22 also apply to this case.

Submitted to the Secretary
19/7/02.

19/7/02

It seems to me that the claim should be recognised.

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor.

19/7

Rd 19-7-02.

The missing record should be searched for - The case is not quite free from doubt & difficulty. Let the party concerned be given an opportunity of being heard & the date fixed being the 20th August-02.

20/7/02

22/7/02 notice issued accordingly.

(Office note continued)

The record about the appeal preferred by Mr Gopaliengar is traced & put up. From the Vice memo (Sl no 9) and the connected papers on the subject, it appears that the question remains undisposed of as the Inam settlement in 1878, the right to toddy revenue of the Nari-harpur village was reserved to Govt on the authority of clause 2 of Inam proc no 6. When Mr Gopaliengar appealed against this settlement, the Supt of Inams, referred the matter to Govt & asked for definite orders as to whether the above quoted clause is applicable to Inamdars whose Sannads expressly authorized the levy of Sayer & Abkari in their villages. It was pointed to him that the clause in question applied to Inamdars collecting such revenue unauthorizedly and that, as in the case under reference, the toddy revenue was resumed in 1878

there arose the further

x "But no claim shall under any circumstances be admitted where the claimant is not in the enjoyment of the duty (sayer & abkari) or compensation in lieu of them at the period of settlement."

question whether by reason of non enjoyment for a long previous period the claim shewn or shewn not be regarded as having lapsed to Govt. Upon this point the Supt was asked to give his opinion & in reply he stated that, as the order in question was held to apply to Inamdars who unauthorizedly collect the sayer & Abkari, & he was of opinion that the claims in cases of that kind shewn not be held to have lapsed by mere non enjoyment. Here the question stopped & no further order appears to have been issued. In the Mutchalika executed by one Lakshminarasiah, a condition is prescribed that the quantity of toddy to be supplied by Govt to the Inam shewn not exceed 3 pots. In his former communication

SC no (1/2)

Draft submitted

20/9/02.

2/9

To the Dewan
through the 2nd
Councillor

6×17
Rd 25-9-02

Noted
25/9/02

2/9

Rd
27.9.02
62 99

'21 Vor
Public Offic

Dear Sir.

I am
desired to inform
you that the 2nd
Councillor wishe
to hear you on
the subject of
your claim to
Coal revenue of
the Chairman
Innisville on
Monday the 15th
instant during
office hours. On
the 29th & 30th of
December last. Your
Case could no
be taken up a
the Councillor.
was engaged

till very late
in the evening
in vindication of
certain Rec.
Appeals.

Yours sincerely
Wm Lee.

20 B.H. Valentine Esq.

Inám Supttts Office.
Bangalore 14th August 1883.

No. 27.

To,

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to request the decision of Government as to whether the closing lines of clause 2 of Inám Précis No. 6 which run as follows

"But no claim should under any
"circumstances be admitted where
"the claimant is not in the enjoy=
"=ment of the dues (Sayer and abkari)
"or compensation in lieu of them at
"the period of settlement," are to be considered to invalidate the claim of an Inámdár whose Sanad distinctly and expressly authorizes the levy of Sayer and abkari.

2. The above reference is rendered necessary by the petition of Gopalisingár, Inámdár of the village of Hariharpura of the Attikuppa Taluk, which I now forward

forwarded together with the Register.

3. The words ——— quoted by me in Para 1 may be considered as a reservation with reference to the context of Clause 2 of Inam Précis No. 6 c., rather than as a Saving Clause to Clause 1 of the same Précis, at all events the question appears involved in some doubt and I shall feel c., obliged by some ruling on the subject for my guidance, as there are other cases, than the one now submitted, to be dealt with.

4. The Inamdar in the case now in point can gain nothing at present by the net proceeds of the Sayer and Abkari revenues being paid over to him under Rule 10 Précis No. 1, but he evidently hopes that in the future there may be such a source of gain.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt
(Sd) [illegible]
Supt of Inam Settlt.

1883-84

Rec'd No. 6.

1/a

Rec'd
24-7-83

To. The Superintendent
of
Main Settlement
Mysore,

Sir,

In reply to your docket No:- I beg leave to submit that from the accompanying papers (which I beg may be returned to me) you will be pleased to observe that I am entitled to a daily supply of 3 pots of toddy of 24 seers each, from Singanhully Shendy bun, at 14 cash each. There are only one or two trees in my village & not a "Shendy bun". If I am not to get any revenue on account of abkari, no specific mention of Singanhully would have been made in the aden which emanated on the report that there were no Shendy trees in Harryhurpum I now entreat you to be pleased to continue to me the right which was conceded by the Sunnd and the aden issued subsequently by the Superintendent of the Ashtagram Division in the Panch Bab Department in the year 1846. I therefore solicit the favor of your again reviewing the

previous order upon the fresh evidence now
and granting me practice in the matter.

I beg to remain,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

B. Ramachandra

Bangalore
24th July 1883.

(2)

S.R.
10-83

2

Re - Letter No. 27 dated 14th Augt 1883 (with reference to the case of Hurihar pur Inam Village) on the Main Supt., requesting orders as to whether the closing lines of Clause 2 of Inam Precis No. 6 are to be considered as invalidating the claim of an Inamdar whose Sanad distinctly and expressly authorizes the levy of Sayar & Abkari within his Inam village, but who has not been in the enjoyment of the items at the period of Settlement

Also. Chief Comrs Pro: No 3697/R.30 dt 8th Oct 1883.

Order

1. The Inam precis ref to is based upon the CCs' order of 8 Oct 1873 (page 73 Rev. manual, 1886)

2. ~~Para~~ The words "But no claim should under any circumstances be admitted where the claimant is not in the enjoyment of the dues or compensation in lieu of them at the period of Settlement." in para 3 of the orders above quoted were, ~~in fact~~ it is evident, intended to be applied to Inamdars & Guttadars Collectors the Sayar & Abkary revenue unauthorizedly, & not to those expressly authorized to collect this Revenue by their Sanads, to whom ref is made in the preceding para.

In the present Case now in question

N.

the Nenamme in question was

resumed in October 1878,

and ~~the point presented~~ there arises the further question

in whether by reason of non enjoyment

for a long previous period, the claim

should or should not (with reference to Established

precedents - and according to the analogy of the decisions in Survil abandoned Mains & other claims) be regarded as

having lapsed to the Govt. Upon

this question the Supt of Mines with

be requested to submit his

opinion ~~in what terms a~~

~~Generator~~

Chief Secy

by

8/5/89

To The Mines Superintendent.

12

(2)
1889

To

The Superintendent

Dated 8-16 May/89

No 1078/15-

Transmitting Journal No 12 of 19-7

Section S.R
File No 10-83
No 3

DIWAN OF MYSORE
2957
20 JUL 56

1889.

From

The Supt of Inam Settlt.

To, The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 19th
Recd 20th } July

Enclosure Nil.

No 12. of 1889-90

Submits his Opinion
on the question of Abkari
Revenue referred to in the
Government Proc. no 1078 of 15
8th may 1889.

No. 12.

Drain Supdt's Office.
Bangalore d/ 19th July 1889.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

Referring to the Govt. Proceedings of No. 1070/15 d/ 8th May 1889, I have the honor to state that as it is held that Para 3 of Chief Commissioner's Orders of No. 3697/R. 30 d/ 8th October 1873, do not apply to cases in which the collection of the Abkari revenue is expressly authorized by Sannad, I am of opinion that claims in such cases should not be held to have lapsed by mere reason of non-enjoyment.

2. The case of the Harihar pura village now in point is

not

not analogous to that of an abandoned Inam in the ordinary sense as defined in Inam Rule XIII.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt

Supdt of Inam Settlt.

D.L.

General Records

to put up Inam Superb letter :: 278 14th Aug 1893 and the Inam Register referred to therein.

JJH 12/12

It is marked in the General Registers sent down by the Current-Records ~~to Home~~ and the above letter was submitted to the Secretary on 22.8.93 nothing is marked both in the Home & General Registers

RLB. 15/12

RC. 15/12/96

B.O. 10-33

U

46.

Excise.] (4) 189

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. 3882
ER 223
11 DEC. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 9th December 1896

Despatched 10th December 1896

Received

General No. 2998

No. 218

Enclosure No. One

In reply to

SUBJECT

Submits copy of communication from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements and requests that the Inam Settlement Register of Surrayanapalya Haricharpura village, Krishnarajpet taluk may be sent for reference

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore dated 9th December 1886

No 2998
" 18 From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir

In submitting herewith copy of a Docket No 63, dated the 8/9th instant, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, I have the honor to request that the Inam Settlement Register of Suvvamanieum Hariharapura Village, Krishnarajpet taluk, therein referred to, may be sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
M Narraga

Excise Commissioner
Dy in Mysore

1896.

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 8/9 December 1896

No Mis 63.

His letter No 2689/458 of the 21st ultimo.

Has the honor to inform that the Inam Settlement Register of Sarvamanium Hanibarpura Village, Krishnarajapet Taluk, alluded to in the letter under reply, is not in this Office; it was sent to the Chief Secretary with this Office letter No 27 dated 14th August 1883, and has not as yet been returned from that Officer.

(Signed) J. D. Grant

Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

"Copy"

M. Murray

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Draft 1896-97 (5)
for approval



The Excise Commr (6)

in Mysore.

Dt 21/12/96.
Dept 2nd
No: 5621/EX: 219. dtd

His letter No: 2998/218 dt 9th December/96 requesting that the Inam Register of the Sarvamanya Village of Harcharpura, Krishnaraj-pet Taluk, Mysore District, may be sent to him for reference.

~~In reply~~ Has the honour to forward herewith Inam Register Sheet No: 9 of the village referred to ~~above~~.

By Order

21/12/96
for Secretary.

21/12/96

of Toddy, or only the latter. But at the
Inam Settlement the Toddy Revenue has
been reserved to Government as per
last clause of para 2 of Pieces No. 6,
on the ground that it was not enjoyed
by the Inamdar. Vide Inam Settlement
Register which is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. N. Pirnias

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

(8) 6292
1897 R 4492

Rec 23/3/97

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
~~Land Rev: and Statistics~~
General & Rev: Departments

Dated 23 March
Despatched 23
Received

Enclosure No. "

No. 81. of 1896-97

This Office Letter No. 27 dated 14/16 August 1883 to the address of the Chief Secretary.

I Has the honor to request that the Secretary will be so good as to direct the return of the Inam Settlement Register of Sarvamanya Hanbarpur village. Akkuppa now Krishna-rajpett Taluk, sent with the above, as it is urgently required for the completion of Final Quit Rent Register of that Taluk. —

I have &c

M.R. Visvesvaraya Inam Settlement

Draft for appl.

SC
10 1883
No 9

(D)

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements
in Tyson.

D/ 16/4/97.
Despd 20
No: 9063
R. 3015

En 1.

His dockets Nos 52 and 81 of 18th December 96 and 23rd March 97 requesting the return ~~for the completion of the Final Quit-rent Registers of the Kristnarajpet Taluk~~, of the Inam Settlement Register Vayanamangalam Haribarpur Villa Narapet ~~above~~ Taluk, which was sent with his No: 2784 August 1883, as the same is urgently required for the completion of Final Quit-rent registers of the Taluk.

Has the honour to return the Inam Register Sheet referred to in the above.

By Order

16/4/97
for Secretary

15/4/97.

Note for the Copyist.

A copy of the Register Shd be prepared carefully Compared & kept for Office record.

ನಮೂನೆ ನಂ ೬೦ ಬಿ ೨೦-

Register sheet- No= 9.

Taluk- Attikuppa

Hobli - Akkebal

Village - Harihara pura

Sarvamanya -

1	2	3	4	5
Register No. 9 Sheet		Sarvamangya	Hariharpura.	
J. D. No. 1		Title deed No. 54625		
1	Personal	Date of prep^n = 1/10/80 Date of issue to Taluk		

6	7	8	9
Abdullah Hotti		For personal benefit	Free.

	P.	A.	P.		R.	As.	P.
Add assessment on resumed minor Inams	891	7	13	Beejy	2594	4	4
	8	—	—		23	4	4
	899	7	13		2617	8	8

Register No. 9 Sheet

.... Attikoppa Taluk

By H.H the Late Maharaja of Mysore in 1826.

One Summud of 2nd Oct. 26 granting to Bokasada Guruttar Nanjapah on the occasion of his marriage to His Highness' sister-in-law the asaley village Harihurpura valued as under free of Rent and with powers of four kinds of alienation and to be enjoyed in heriditary succession.

The valuation is as follows:—

	P. F. V.
Total beriz.	902-4-13
Deduct jari Inams.	
Devadaya	4-0-0
Peromal	5-5-0
Fakeer	1-2-0
	10-7-0
Net	891-7-13

Particulars

To be realized hereafter	378-8-10
Balance	521-9-3

Inydi	83-8-12
Canal revenue	391-4-2
Sayer	14-7-8
Manébob	18-5-0
Taxes consisting of	
Samayachar	0-6-0
Idiga caste	6-2-0
Baligie	0-6-8
Kumbar	0-3-8
Bestar	1-2-0
Kumbar	0-3-0
Dhobey	0-6-0
panchala	2-4-0
Inyadagati	0-4-0
Miscellaneous	0-4-0
Barber	0-2-0
Oil monger	0-2-0
Taxes on Sugar manufactory	13-3-13

The items alienated include Sayer Toddy, Nishatarpa, Forests exclusive of sandal.

Note: The powers of alienation are (granted) in the body of the Summud, but in the Maharajah's Cantonment proclamation these words are as usual omitted. In the preamble the words "Vimbali" occurs twice; but in the parts making the grant and in the autograph the word does not occur, and the terms of the Grant allow considering that it was an inadvertence and free Grant with the powers of alienation.

Sgd (illegible) P.C. 14/10/71

Hassan Distt

Bottar grants & purchases.

B849

H.S.V. Dottar acct: of 1831.

Haribuspur p. 7. V

Kadeem beriz	440-5-14
Jaati -	461-8-15
	902-4-13

Deduct

Jari inams	
Devadaya	4-0-0
Personal	5-3-0
Fakeer	1-2-0
	10-7-0
Rel-	891-7-13

Particulars

Village Revenue	475-2-14
Bajebb or Lines	18-5-0
Soyer	14-7-8
Soyer Manufactory	13-3-13
	521-9-3
Revenue to be realized hereafter	369-8-10
Total	891-7-13

The village of Haribuspur with the details of Revenue as described above is granted to both Bottars to be enjoyed rent free & hereditarily under a Grant of ~~in~~ Nyah.

B.S.

H.S. Kayfiat of 1838-

Haribuspur p. 7. V

Total beriz	902-4-13
Deduct	
Devadaya	4-0-0
Personal inams	5-5-0
Fakeer	1-2-0
	10-7-0
Rel-	891-7-13

Particulars

~~Personal~~ beriz	891-7-13
Revenue for the year	
Parthiva	521-9-3
Land Revenue	466-7-6
Mohatarfa	6-9-0
Chillar bob	13-5-½
Amrayee	-4-0
Punjabbi abkari	6-2-0
Porde Soyer	28-1-5
	521-9-3
Revenue for Heriliumbi -	481-2-6
Land Revenue	429-3-6
Mohatarfa	7-3-0
Soyer	31-7-12
abkari	6-7-0
Punjabbi	5-7-4
Amrayee	-4-0
	481-2-6

This village is not alienated.
The owner purchased enjoys.

15	16	17	
Register Sheet No 9		Ashtagram	Division
Chitaldroog B. Gopalienger 30	alienee	1 mother 2 divided brothers 1 wife	The village was originally mortgaged to claimants and others. It was sold by the court of the Impose deputy Commissioner in execution case No 1 of 1869 and purchased by the claimants' late father as per registered court certificate dated 28th September 1869.

nlography and the nature of the grant show that it was ersonal - no condition of service is specified and none as performed at any time.

As the Inam is governed by Rule VI A and the original grantee had powers of alienation the sale by judicial process though subsequent to the 14th Decr. 1867 must be recognized by this Deptt under para 3 of precis No. 2.

Under all the above circumstances I have no hesitation in recommending that the inam may be confirmed to the person in ent: 15 rent-free under Rule VI A.

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao
20/9/78

A Copy of the Notification No. 292.
has been issued to the Inamdar.
(Sd) D.N.
20/9/78

1. Whole grant — Valid under Rule II.
2. This village is entered in Sarvamanium acct: of 1831 and 1838
3. The Pymaish acct: is not available in the Taluk record hence col: No: 4 is left blank.
4. This village is subject to all Local and Irrigation cesses Local cess levied is Rs: 82-3-1.
5. The Irrigation cess is levied Rs: 46-13-6.
6. Soyer and abkari are expressly conceded and are in enjoyment
The Rule in Precis No: 6 applies.
7. Only sandal is reserved in the Sanad. The item of forest is governed by the Chief Court's Notification
8. The inamdar elects to have a detailed survey.
9. For excluded inams see cases Nos: 2 to 7 of this Register
10. The beriz applied is as per account of 1831.
11. The next point to be determined is whether the inam is liable to enfranchisement under clause A or B of Rule VI. The occasion which gave rise to the grant was the marriage of the late Maharajah's sister-in-law, and it is well known that all gifts made at such periods among Hindus are absolute and unreserved. Independently of this circumstance, the terms of the sanad leaves no doubt in my mind that the Royal donor did by the Sanad confer on the Grantee the powers of alienation.

The words implying authority to transfer by sale mortgage, gift and exchange are entered in the body of sanad, and the Maharajah writes in his own hand that the village is granted "as above". Under these circumstances it is clear that the inamdar is entitled to the liberal and fair construction enjoined by the Government of India ruling quoted in para 5 of Precis No: 2 Rule VI A is therefore applicable to this case.

Register Sheet No 9 — Sarvamanya

Note

A. Independent Inams - See case Nos 267.

B. Subsequent alienations need not be noticed

C. Revenue enjoyed

Land Revenue

Forest.

Amrayee

Sudhatarfa

Soyer

D. Statistics. —

Asali 1

Dakhali 0

Pinavati River 1

do - Nali 1

Stone built temples 4.

Temples built by brick & chunam } 2

Mud temple 1

Tiled houses 60

Huts - 25

Tope 1

Population

Men	120
Women	120
Boys	44
Girls	25
	309.

Boundaries to be defined by the Survey depte.

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao

20/9/78.

Haricharpura—

1. I have examined the Sannad. In the beginning the words "Oomblé Sarvamanyam" are used twice, but what sort of service is to be performed is not stated. The powers of mortgage, sale, gift and exchange are clearly given in the Sannad.
2. Confirmed free subject to all local fund and Irrigation cesses.—
3. The rights to Soyer are conceded as per sannad.
4. The right to the Toddy Revenue is conceded in the sannad but is not at present enjoyed. It is reserved under the last clause of para 2 of Precis No 6.
5. The rights to all ~~fruit~~ Forest trees except sandal which is specially reserved are conceded in the Sannad and are now conceded in accordance therewith. Sandal is reserved
6. A detailed survey is desirable.

Rikéri
14th October 1878

(Sd) Robt: Cole.

Register
No. 9
Sheet 7

Survamanya -

Register		Hariharpur.	
2	Devanagari - wet	Sheet - J. D № 77.	H. Jari account of 1802-1803 Krishnaraj K. C. P. " 6 " Title deed № 53446 Date of pref: 1-10-80 Date of issue Wsalath -
	Devanagari dry		H. Jari account of 1802-1803 Krishnaraj K. C. P. " 12 "

6	7	8	9
Register Book Sheet-			Sarvamanya
Beriz P. 7-V. Rs. as. p 6—00 17-7-3		Beriz P. 7-V. Rs-as-p 4—00 11—10—2	
For the support of Hariharaeswara devaru's temple in this village. The pagoda is in good order and pooje performed every Monday as per Shanbhogu's certificate		For the support of Kesawa Devaru's temple in this village. The temple leaks, and the worship rendered twice every day as per Amil's certificate.	
Free.		Free	

Register No. 9 Sheet 7 | Sarwamanya

B1

H. J. acct. of 1802/03

Bhemarayaswami

	K-C-P	P-T-V
Wet	6	4

B3.

H-J. acct: of 1810/11

Bhemarayaswami

		P.T.V
Wet	6	4

B4.

H.J acct: of 1837.

Kesawaswami

	K-C-P	P.T.V
Wet	10	4

B5

H-J. acct of 1855.

Kesawadevaru

	K-C-P	P.T.V
Wet	10	4

B2

H-J. acct of 1802/3

Hariharesvara

	K-C-P	P-T-V
Dry	12	6

15	16	17	18
Hariharpura			

Hariharpur
Kesawadevaru
Manager Brdem.

Register No. 9
Sheet 1

Survamangya

1. Complete grant — Valid under the Rules
2. H-J. acct: of 1807- adopted
3. The temple requires slight repairs, and the Poojari is allowed 15 days fine. The Amildar is directed to report after the repair was made within the time allowed.
4. Subject to the above the extent in ~~dot~~ cl 4 to be confirmed free of tax.

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao

5. The Amildar reports in his Ittelah No. 28/10/78. 1820 of 10th May 1879 that the poojari is unable to execute the repairs. To be resumed. (Sd) C. Vyasa Rao
Offg: Asst.
21/5/79.

Complete grant — Valid under the Rules

The land is not identifiable. To be resumed and the berij of the Inam to be added to the Survamangyam village.

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao
4/10/78.

3. Vide Amildar's No 662 of 22nd August 79.
Resumption cancelled - Inam confirmed (Sd) Robertson 25/10/79

21/10/79 addr to the Dy C of Inam order No 3 of the Amildar to restore the Inam. (Sd) P.R.

Harihar pura.

6. The poojari by name Rangachar addressed petn No 683 of 19th March 1879 to the Supt of Inam Settlement who forwarded it to this office for report with his No 3 of the 5th ultimo. The petnr requests the restoration of the land, which, he says had been given out on Kandayam by him to one Vava Sahib, who raised objections to pay the Kandayam. I think this depts has nothing to do with the complaint which is about a dispute between land lord & tenant. The Inam is moreover to be resumed owing to the reasons stated in paras 5. Supt Narasinga Row declined to interfere with the petnr's dispute. Vide his Endt No 20 of 26th August 1878 issued to the petnr.

(Sd) C. Vyasarao
22/5/79.

1. Endorse petn as above.
2. Inam resumed. (Sd) Robert-Cole.
(Sd) P.R. 26/5/79.

1. Resumed and assessment added to the beriz of the village. This inam is not enclosed in the Sannad and is not in the subsequent account.

(Sd) Robert Cole
14/10/78.

2. Vide Amildar's No 321 of 6th June 1879.
3. As this inam is only found in the accts of 1802 and the village was granted in 1826 and the land is not identifiable or enjoyment by any one else it is evident that it was included in the grant. This is therefore to be entered in the "Struck" "A"

1-7-79. (Sd) I

Register Sheet No 9

4	Personal	web	H. Jari account of 1802/3 Krishnaraj. K. C. P. a—5—a Title deed No. 51782 Date of prepn 1-7-80 Date of issue to Taluk } — — —
5	Personal	web	H. Jari account of 1802/03 Krishnaraj. K—C. P. a—4—a Title deed No. 51783 Date of prepn 1-7-80 Date of issue to Taluk } — — —

J. D No. 2

J. D No. 15.

Berig.

P.	7.	V	Rs.	as	p
2	5	—	7	4	4.

For personal benefit

Free.

Berig

P.	7.	V	Rs.	as	p
3	—	—	8	11	6

For personal benefit

Free.

Harishanpura.

Haribarpura

B 6.

H. J. acct: of 1802
Ramakrishnabhatta

K-C-P	P-T-V
4 — 5 —	3 — —

B 9

H-J acct of 1810/11
Ramakrishnabhatta

K-C-P	P-T-V
Wet 4 — 5 —	3 — —

B 12

H-J acct: of 1833
Ramakrishnabhatta (dead)
grandson Ramakrishnabhatta.

K-C-P	P-T-V
Wet 4 — 6 — 10	3 — —

B 18

H-J. acct of 1855
Same as above.

B 6.

H-J acct of 1802/3
Senkerbhatta

K-C-P	P-T-V
4 — 4 —	2 — 5 —

B 9

H-J acct of 1810/4
Senkerbhatta

K-C-P	P-T-V
Wet 4 — 4 —	2 — 5 —

B 13.

H-J acct of 1833/.
Naranabhatta

K-C-P	P-T-V
Wet 4 — 12	2 — 5 —

B 17.

H-J acct of 1855
Subabhatta

K-C-P	P-T-V
Wet 4 — 12	2 — 5 —

15	16	17	18
Register No. 9 Sheets			Surname

Haricharpur

1. Ramakrishnabhatta Sindghatta	50	½	Grandson of the party in 1802	1 acre
2. Surabhatta	32	½	Grandson of do	tribe

Mysore

1. Venjeenda Sronti	44	⅓	Grandsons of the party in 1802	
2. Rama Sronti	38	⅓		
3. Krishna Sronti	36	⅓		

Complete grant — Valid under the Rules.

H-J acct of 1802/3 adopted.

The extent in ~~Cd:~~ Cd:4 to be confirmed on ⅛ Quit-Rent

R1 — as agreed to under Rule 61 I.D.

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao
25/9/78.

Notice No: 81 of 11th Sept: 78 served on the Inamdar on the 16th September 1878 — Not appeared attached

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao
2/10/78.

Party No: 2 in Cd:15 appeared and admitted the correctness

Complete grant — Valid under the Rules.

H-J acct of 1802/3 adopted.

The extent in Cd:4 to be confirmed on ⅛ Quit Rent.

R1 — as agreed to under Rule 61 I.D.

Release Ot: No: 1388 sent to Inam Supt:
(Sd) D.N.
8/11/78

(Sd) D. Narsinga Rao
8/11/78.

Register Reg Sheet

Sarvamma

1. W-S. Kies J.

2. Cmfd: 1/8 g-r. R 1—:-"

(Sd) Robert Lde.

(2D) P.R. 14-10-78

---

1. Attached from 11th Sep: 78-

(2D) R.

14-10 ,-

2 Cmfd: in 1/8 g-r- R. 1—:-"

(Sd) Robert Lde

26/5/79.

(2D) P.R.

1	2	3	4	5
A	4 N=9 sheets		Harichandpura	
J.	D. No. 16			
6	Fakeer Inam	Dry	H. Jari account of 1810/11 Krishnaraj K. C. P. " — 3 — Title Deed No. 5/1784 Date of prepn. 1-7-80 Date of issue to Taluk	
	Personal	Dry	H. Jary account-of 1866. K. C. P " — 5 —	

6	Register No. 29 Sheet 7	Survey No.	Name of Village	Name of Inamdar	Nature of Inam	Quantity	Remarks
7			Berij			P. T. V. 1-2- Rs. as p 3-7-00	
8			Berij		For the support of Dubadar alli Sha Makan. The worship rendered once in 8 days, and also feast is observed once every year. The Makan is entirely out of order as per Amil's certificate.	P. T. V. 2-- Rs. as p 5-13-1	For personal benefit
Sarvamane							

13

Register

No. 9

Sheet

H. J. account of 1802/3

nil

14

Sarvamanya

B 11-12

H. J. account of 1811/12

Fakeer Imam

Subadar alli Sha at

Haricharpur

flower garden

	K. C. P	P. F. V
dry	" — 3 —	1 — 2 —

B 17

H. J. account of 1833

Same as above

	K. C. P	P. F. V.
dry	" — 4 —	1 — 2 —

B 20

H. J. account of 1855

Fakeer abberalli Sha

	K. C. P	P. F. V.
dry	" — 4 —	1 — 2 —

B 7.

Tk. Jari account of 1866

Narasimabhatta at

Haricharpura

	K. C. P	P. F. V.
dry	" — 5 —	5 — 13 — 1.

	16	17	18
Amritsarpura			
Amritsarpura			
Subadar alli Sha Mallah			
Manager proten-			

Register No 9 Sheet 1

Sarvamanya -

complete grant — Valid under the Rule

The Mahan is entirely fell down as per Amr
The father who at present enjoys the Inam certificate
states that he would put into thorough repa
within one month. He ought to have done i
ere this. The grace is allowed. Amildar is
directed to report as to repair having don
within the time allowed.

Subject to the above, the extent in ct.
to be confirmed free of tax so long as the
object of the grant is fulfilled.

(Sd) D. Narsinga Row.
28/10/78.

The Amildar reports in his Kurzee
No. 182.

---

complete grant — Invalid under the Ru

To be struck off the Register

(Sd) D. Narsinga Row.
20/3/79.

Haricharpura.

of the 20th May 1879, that repairs have been executed to the Mahan-

21/5/79. C. Vyasa Rao
Offg: Apst

1. Confirmed free.

(Sd) Robert Cde.
26/5/79.

(Sd) P.R.

---

1. To note whether this land is identifiable or not:

(Sd) R.C.
26/5/79.

2 Docket No. 94-7-79 to Supt. C.V.

3. The land is not identifiable

(Sd) C.V. 18/7/1879.

4. Submitted to the Inam Supt. this day.

(Sd) C. Vyasa Rao
Offg: Apst. 30/8/79.

5. Struck off.

(Sd) P.R. (Sd) Robert Cde
14-9-79.

No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, DATED BANGALORE, 2ND AUGUST 1901.

ORDER—The question of the settlement of the Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of their villages in Mysore has for some time past been pending the final decision of Government. In Proceedings No. 443-51—R. 69, dated 11th July 1893 an enquiry was directed into the claim of each Inamdar, and the attention of the Deputy Commissioners was specially called to certain main heads on which information was required. In a small number of cases reports were accordingly submitted for the orders of Government. The principle on which the claims should be settled not having been expressly laid down, the reports were not such as to enable Government to pass final orders without further enquiry. Government are now pleased to issue the following rules for the settlement of the claims of holders of alienated villages to the several items of revenue from toddy in connection with their villages and their adjustment under each of the systems introduced since the year 1892-93. The Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to enquire either by themselves or by Assistant Commissioners under their directions, into all such claims in conformity with the rules and submit their reports to the Excise Commissioner in such form as will admit of his passing them on with his own opinion for the final orders of Government without directing a further investigation or calling for additional information.

RULES.

The Government of Mysore are pleased to make the following rules for the adjustment of the several items of revenue from toddy, connected with alienated villages, under each of the systems introduced since the year 1892-93 :—

SECTION I.—PRELIMINARY.

1. *Definitions.*—(1) Shop-rent is the amount of license fee for the right of vending toddy in an authorized shop, the amount being determined by the result of the auction sale of the shop.

(2) Grove-rent is the amount realized by selling by public auction or by otherwise leasing the right of drawing toddy from the trees in a grove.

(3) Tree-tax is the fee charged for every tree from which it is intended to draw fermented toddy.

(4) Tree-rent is the price paid to the owner of toddy-yielding trees for allowing the licensees of shops or tapmen to draw toddy from them.

SECTION II.—THE FARMING SYSTEM.

2. *Payments to those entitled to Excise Revenue.*—Under the farming system which was in force for five years from 1892-93 to 1896-97, the proprietor of an alienated village who is entitled to Excise revenue from toddy shall get the whole of the rent of the "Shop Farm" or "Grove Farm" or of both, realized in his village, *minus* the proportionate cost of establishment charges at 6 per cent, and local cess payable to Government.

3. If his shop has obtained supplies of toddy from any Government grove or from the grove of any other alienated village, not separately sold as an independent "Grove Farm," a deduction should also be made from the above amount at the rate of four annas for each tree tapped for his shop. If the tapped trees belong to a Government grove, the amount thus deducted shall be credited to Government; or if they belong to another alienated village, it shall be paid to the proprietor of such village, the local cess thereon being credited to Government.

4. *Payments to those not entitled to Excise Revenue.*—The proprietor of an alienated village not entitled to Excise revenue from toddy, shall get only tree-rent on account of the "Grove Farm" sold in his village. As the "Grove Farm" includes both the farm-rent on account of the right of drawing or removing toddy from the trees, and the tree-rent on account of the ownership of the trees leased to be tapped, the toddy rent being payable to Government and *tree-rent to the proprietor* of the village, 4/5ths of the rent of the "Grove Farm" shall be credited to Government on account of the farm-rent or duty on toddy, and 1/5th only shall be paid to the proprietor on account of tree-rent.

SECTION III.—THE PRELIMINARY TREE-TAX SYSTEM.

5. *Payments to those entitled to Excise Revenue.*—Under the preliminary tree-tax system which was in force in the year 1897-98, the "Grove Farms" in alienated villages were, as a rule, not sold or leased by Government, the proprietors thereof being left to lease them to toddy vend-farmers or shop-keepers at such rates of tree-rent as might be privately settled by themselves, so that the whole of the amount levied by Government for the trees tapped in alienated villages, was credited under tree-tax, the tree-rent being recovered by the proprietors themselves. In such cases the proprietors entitled to Excise revenue from toddy, shall get the refund of the whole amount of tree-tax, as well as the shop-rent, if any, *minus* the proportionate cost of establishment charges at 6 per cent and local cess payable to Government.

6. If the shop has drawn supplies of toddy from a Government grove or from the grove in another alienated village, the adjustment shall be made in the manner laid down in para 3 above.

7. But in the case of certain alienated villages, the groves whereof were attached to particular toddy farms in the year 1896-97, the proprietors not having been allowed to lease their trees privately, for the year 1897-98, they will get for the year 1897-98 the same amount as is payable to them for the year 1896-97.

8. *Payments to those not entitled to Excise Revenue.*—In cases where the proprietors were not allowed to lease their trees privately, the arrangements which existed in the year 1896-97, having been ordered to continue for the year 1897-98, the proprietors shall get the refund of tree-rent calculated at four annas for each tree tapped in their villages *minus* local cess thereon payable to Government.

SECTION IV—TREE-TAX SYSTEM.

9. *Payments to those entitled to Excise Revenue.*—Where the proprietor of an alienated village has both a shop and a date grove in his village, and the trees in the grove are tapped for the supply of toddy only to the shop in the village, both the shop-rent and the tree-tax shall be payable to him, minus the cost of Government establishment at 6 per cent which shall be payable to Government, as well as the local cess on the tree-tax.

10. If trees in his village are tapped also for shops in other alienated villages *not entitled* to Excise revenue from toddy, or for shops in Government villages, or for both, the tree-tax of such trees, as well as the rent of the shops and the local cess on the tree-tax shall be payable to Government.

11. If the trees are tapped for shops in other alienated villages *entitled* to Excise revenue from toddy, the tree-tax of the trees shall be payable to such alienated villages, as well as the rent of the shops therein, *minus* the cost of Government establishment at 6 per cent which shall be payable to Government, as well as the local cess on the tree-tax.

12. Where the proprietor has only a shop in his village, the shop-rent shall be payable to him, as well as the tree-tax of the trees tapped for his shop either in Government occupied or unoccupied lands or in other alienated villages or lands, *minus* the cost of Government establishment at 6 per cent, which shall be payable to Government, as well as the local cess on the tree-tax.

13. Where the Inam is entitled to Excise revenue, but has only trees, the Inam should get an annual lump sum grant calculated once for all on the population in the village according to the most recent Census. As Government revenue is to Government population so should Inam Revenue be in relation to Inam population.

14. Where such Inam has no population at all, the Inam should get nothing beyond the rent, its sannad title to Excise revenue notwithstanding, and such rent shall be at such rate as may be settled between himself and the tapper.

15. Provided, however, that if the above trees have been attached to any particular shops from time immemorial, the trees will be leased by Government, as usual, to the licensees of such shops for tree-rent at a rate not less than four annas per tree per annum, to be payable to the owners thereof, the local cess thereon being payable to Government.

16. *Payments to those not entitled to Excise Revenue.*—In cases where the proprietor of an alienated village is not entitled to Excise revenue from toddy, he will be at liberty to lease his trees to the licensees of shops for the manufacture of toddy, for such tree-rent as may be settled between himself and the licensees of shops.

17. Provided, however, that if his trees have been attached or assigned to any particular shops from time immemorial, the trees in such groves will be leased by Government as usual, to the licensees of such shops, for tree-rent at a rate not less than four annas per tree per annum, to be payable to the owners thereof, the local cess thereon being payable to Government.

SECTION V.—GENERAL.

18. *Procedure for determining rights of alienated villages to Excise Revenue.*—The following procedure is prescribed for the guidance of the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for determining the rights of alienated villages to Excise Revenue from toddy :—

(1) When the investigation of a claim to Toddy revenue is taken up, the first point to be determined is, whether such claim is for Excise revenue only, or for tree-rent only, or for both.

(2) If the claim is for tree-rent only, a decision should be recorded according to the claimant's own admission that he is entitled only to tree-rent on account of the trees tapped in his village, but the local cess thereon should be made payable to Government.

(3) If, on the other hand, the claim is for Excise revenue only, or for both Excise revenue and tree-rent, the Inam Settlement and the Title-Deed should be the starting point. Where it is conclusively clear from the Inam Settlement Register that the whole Excise revenue, *viz.*, "Abkari" or "Panchbab," or only Toddy Excise has been conceded, the Inam Settlement should be adhered to. But when it is not so in consequence of ambiguous or doubtful words, such as "Eechal Pyru" instead of "Shendi" being used, the original sannad of the village and the beriz account of the year in which the village was alienated, should be consulted to ascertain whether the Excise on toddy was included in the assets of the total beriz which was alienated, and the finding should be duly recorded. The claim should only be admitted to the extent alienated, as established in each individual case.

19. If in any case, there has been no enjoyment of Excise revenue, within the twelve years preceding the year 1892-93, the circumstances connected therewith should be reported, with the Excise Commissioner's opinion, for the orders of Government.

20. Also, if there are any special cases, in which, notwithstanding the absence of sannads or recognition by the Inam Department, there has been an uninterrupted enjoyment for a period of not less than fifty years preceding the year 1892-93, the same should be specially reported, with the Excise Commissioner's opinion, for the orders of Government.

21. Pendency of claim before a Revenue Court is no interruption within the meaning of this rule.

22. Where it is clear that the Inam Settlement has not conceded the right to Excise revenue from toddy, the claimant should be awarded tree-rent only. But where it has been conclusively established that the claimant is entitled to Excise revenue from toddy, the finding should be duly recorded and the award made accordingly.

23. *Government reserve right to make addition to 6 per cent for Establishment charges.*—The Government reserve to themselves the right of making such reasonable addition to the aforesaid 6 per cent for establishment charges as from time to time they may consider necessary.

24. *Deputy Commissioners at liberty not to sell, or license shops in alienated villages.*—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts will be at liberty, under the provisions of Excise Laws, not to sell or license the shops in alienated villages, on the ground of there being too many shops, or for any other valid reason, the proprietors of such villages being awarded reasonable amount of compensation, calculated in the manner laid down in para 13 above.

25. *Alienees wish to compound wtih Government for lump sum payment.*—Wherever the proprietors of alienated villages entitled to Excise revenue are willing to compound with Government for an immediate payment not exceeding 20 times the net annual income from Excise revenue upon the average for the ten years, *i. e.*, of five years prior to 1873 and of five years ending 30th June 1896, the matter should be reported for the orders of Government.

26. *Revenue of alienated villages fluctuates as Government Revenue.*—The revenue of alienated villages must necessarily be a fluctuating item in the same way as Government revenue. But when once the claim is decided on its merits, the payment to be made to the claimant in each succeeding year would, under existing conditions, be a matter of mere routine.

27. *Cases not coming under above rules.*—Cases, if any, not coming within the purview of the foregoing rules, should form the subject of a special communication.

28. *Settlement of claims not to be delayed.*—The settlement of the claims of the proprietors of alienated villages to toddy revenue has long been delayed. The Deputy Commissioners of Districts will fix a reasonable time within which all such claims should be preferred and give due publicity to the same by a notice in the official Gazette. They should afterwards lose no time either by themselves or by Assistant Commissioners under their directions, in enquiring into all such claims and forwarding their reports to the Excise Commissioner in such form as would admit of his passing them on with his own opinion for the final orders of Government, without directing a further investigation or calling for additional information.

29. *How enquiry should be conducted.*—The record of the enquiry in each case, which, as far as possible, should be conducted in the manner laid down in Sections 201 and 202 of the Land Revenue Code, should accompany the report referred to in para 28 above, together with a calendar in the Form A hereto appended.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Excise, dated 2nd August 1901.

A.—Calendar of a claim to Toddy Revenue enquired into by .....Distri

Name of Taluk.	Name of Hobli, Sammat or Magani.	Name of Sarva-Inam, Jodi or Kayamgutta village.	Name of Inamdar, Jodidar or Kayamgutadar.	Documents, etc., relied upon.	Witnesses examined.	Finding.	Amount awarded, if any.	Grounds of decision.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

.....DISTRICT,

Dated.....190

Deputy Commissioner,

.....*Distri*

Exd—C. V.

1901-1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 2nd August 1901

No. 2074-83
R. F. 166-92

Issuing rules for the settlement of the claims of holders of alienated villages to the several items of revenue from Toddy in connection with their villages, and their adjustment under each of the systems introduced since the year 1892-93.

SBP—7-8-1901—500

Ex. Br.

Please put up pef: if any in your branch.

Ex Br
19.6.02

ಅವಶ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ
ಸ್ಪಂದನೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿ
ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿರಿ.

20-6-02
Rec'd 24/6/02

Rec Br
Please put up pef Abstract &c. Ex. Br.

Ex Br
23/6.

Genl Records.
Please put up papers connected with the Inam Superintendent's letter no 12 of the 6th July 1889 to the Chief Secy to Govt on the subject in question

Ex Br
24/6/02

To

Excise Branch

The reference regd is marked in the From Register as having been submitted to Dewan on 3/8/89. The ref: regd may be found either in the appeal preferred by Mr Gopala Iyengar and referred to within or in Part I of R 7223-91 retained in the Excise Branch bearing on the subject of Inamdar's right to Toddy Revenue in Mysore Distt

26/6/02 620 26/6/02

Ven. Records.

1.7.02

Please put up pef. They are not in R.A. 223-92. So & they are in Yr. record please put up 30/6/02

Ex Br

10

1902

15343
1902

From P. N. Purnaiya Esquire B. A
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundiah Esquire M.A; M
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 20th May 1902.
Despatched 7th June
Received
General No. 7946
No. 397
Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's memo together with the record of enquiry regarding the Toddy Revenue of Harihanpur Inam village in the Krishnarajpet taluk.

Excise Commissioner

Bangalore, dated 20th July

No. 7946/397 Excise Files

From

P. R. Purnaiya Esquire B. A;

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

N. V. Narayandiah Esquire M. A; B. L

Secretary to Government.

General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In submitting herewith, the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's Memo, together with the records of enquiry regarding the Toddy Revenue of Hariharpur Inam village in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating Officer's opinion that the Inamdar is entitled by sanad to Excise Revenue from Toddy. But the Inam settlement has not recognised the claim to Toddy Revenue on the ground that the Inamdar did not enjoy such revenue. The Inamdar's appeal to Government against the decision of the Inam Department appears to be still pending. There is a Toddy shop in the village but there is no date grove. As, however, there has been no enjoyment of Excise Revenue by the Inamdar within the

the twelve years preceding the year 1892–9
the case falls under Rule 19 in Governm
Proceedings No. 2074–83 / R.F. 166–92, dated 2d August 190 2,
Accordingly it is submitted for the orders of
Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M Krishnaji
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

11

Section SR

File No 10-83

Serial No 11

No 108 / 7712-1901

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
Dy. 28th August 1901

Memorandum

As the further evidence of Mr B. Spaulding in the matter of his claim for the Coorg revenue of the Hanikumpam Lunne village in the Kerolum-gajpet Taluk, Mysore District, seems necessary he is hereby requested to present himself in this office at 12 noon on Thursday the 29th ~~day of~~ August 1901

B.L.

2nd Cis order

M 28/7/1.

for Secy

12

Section 5 R

File No 1083.

Serial No 12

Read again:—

Correspondence ending with letter no. 12 dated the 9th July 1859 from the Supt. of Inam settlements, on the subject of ~~Inamdar's~~ claim to toddy revenue of the Harcharpur Inam village, Krishnarajapet Taluk

Read:—

Letter no. 7946/397 dated 20th May 1902 from the Excise Commr. in Mysore, reporting with reference to Govt. proc. no. 2074-83 R.F. 166-92, dated 2/8/01 the result of enquiry recently held on the above subject, with the records of the case.

Exec. V.P.

No 196-8/4-7-12-1901 dated 27/10/02.

Order thereon:—

The original Sanad concedes the toddy revenue to the Inamdar. As toddy revenue was not enjoyed by the Inamdar at the time of the Inams settlement, it was

reserved to Government in ~~case~~
under the last clause of
para 2 of Inam precis no 6
which laid down that no
claim stirred under any
circumstances be admitted
where the claimant is not
in the enjoyment of the due
recompensation in lieu of
them at the period of settle-
ment. The Sannad is in
favour of the Inamdar as
will be seen from the Inam
Register and extract. Enjoy-
ment by him of toddy revenue till
1872 has been clearly proved.
Inam precis no 6 ~~clause~~
& para 2 has rightly been
declared by Govt in their proc no 1078/15 of 8th may 1884,
to be inapplicable
to a case like the one
under reference. The dis
allowance of the claim by
the Inam department at
the settlement made by
it in 1878 was wrong, but
the Inamdar ~~also did~~

on the other hand did not at once ask for a revision of the order then made. When he appealed or rather applied for a revision of the order in 1883, he had not ceased to be in the enjoyment of this right for 12 years. The opinions since obtained are all in his favour. There is no doubt that the Inamdar is entitled to the benefit of Rule 12 of the 2nd Inam Rules, which directs that where the proprietor has only a shop in his village, the shop rent shall be payable to him, as well as the tree tax of the trees tapped for his shop either in Government occupied or unoccupied or in other alienated lands minus the cost of establishment &c.

The Assistant Commr's award that the Inamdar is entitled to 1/4th toddy revenue of the village, has been supported both by the Deputy Commr and by the Excise Commr and Government concur with the officers in holding that the Inamdar is entitled to toddy revenue of the village, & accordingly direct that the amount due be disbursed to him.

The above decision

will be communicated to
the Inamdar.

20/9/02

Secretary
29/9

165
20-9-02.
Jo

The Excise Commr
in Mysore,
" Comptroller, M.G.
" Deputy Commr, Mysore
Dist Circle.

27.IX.02

Idar
29/9/02

Ref No 275 General
E 712 01
Dy 23/12/02

To The Superintendent
Govt Press

Hon'ble Sir,

I am directed to request that the accompanied G.O. No 126-8 E.712-1902 dt. 7th October 1902 regarding the Hariharpur Inundation claim to the Coddy purchased by Messrs Keiser & Co. printed 250 copies sent to the Press Branch of the General Office

By order
Sd 23/12/02
for Secy

Ref No 15 870

Serial No 116